



**Australian
Polling Council
Quality Mark**

Methodology Statement: ACBF Griffith poll

Publication date: 23 December 2024

Short Methodology Statement

Research company: Pyxis Polling Pty Ltd

Client commissioning the research: Australian Climate and Biodiversity Foundation (ACBF)

End client: Australian Climate and Biodiversity Foundation (ACBF)

Fieldwork dates: 17 December– 19 December 2024

Mode of data collection: CATI live telephone polling

Target population: Eligible Australian Voters

Sample size: 400

Australian Polling Council compliant? Yes

URL of Long Methodology statement Is voting intention published?

<https://www.pyxispolling.com/apc/>

Long Methodology Statement

Effective sample size after weighting applied: 366

Margin of error associated with effective sample size: $\pm 4.3\%$ (90% confidence level)

Variables used in weighting & weighting method used: Age x gender x location, previous vote, Rim weighting

Full question text, responses categories and randomisation: See below

Proportion of landline/mobile completed interviews: n=17 by landline / n=380 mobile

Source of online sample: Not applicable

Positioning of voting intention questions in questionnaire? Immediately after demographics

2PP calculation method: Calculated according to preferences flows at previous elections

How were undecided voters handled? Undecided respondents who answered "don't know" were asked a leaner question which also included a "don't know" option. Those who answered don't know were excluded from published voting intention figures but not from the survey. 7% were thus excluded from published voting intention figures.

Full Text of Published Questions

B1 The next questions are about federal politics i.e. the parliament in Canberra. If a federal election was held today, whom would you vote for?

Read out & Rotate 1-5

1	Labor
2	The Liberal National Party
3	The Greens
4	Pauline Hanson's One Nation
5	Some other party or candidate (specify)
6	Don't know / refused

ASK IF B1 = Don't Know / refused

B2 To which one of the following do you have a leaning, even by just a bit?

Read out & Rotate 1-5

1	Labor
2	The Liberal National Party
3	The Greens
4	Pauline Hanson's One Nation
5	Some other party or candidate (specify)
6	Don't know / refused

Q1 I'm going to ask you some questions about logging of native forests on government owned or public land. Which is closer to your view?

Single select; Read out and rotate 1-2

- 1 Logging of native forests on public land should end and timber should be sourced only from commercial plantations
- 2 Logging of native forests on public land should continue
- 3 Don't know

Q2 Which is closer to your view?

Single select; Read out and rotate 1-2

- 1 Ending logging of native forests will cost the economy and inevitably means fewer jobs
- 2 Australia can both end native forest logging and protect jobs by investing in plantations and funding more workers to manage native forests
- 3 Don't know

Q3 The Australian Government is working with industry on a national forestry plan. Do you support or oppose the Australian Government doing each of the following in a national forestry plan?

PROBE IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE Is that strongly or somewhat SUPPORT/OPPOSE?

Grid. Randomise STATEMENTS.

	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Neither support nor oppose	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose
Providing incentives for manufacturers to use timber sourced from Australian plantations					
Providing grants and loans to build prefabricated housing in factories sourced from Australian plantations					
Providing funding to employ workers to undertake fire and					



**Australian
Polling Council
Quality Mark**

environmental
management in public-
owned native forests

Providing incentives for
forestry companies to grow
timber plantations